

December 29, 2025

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Stormwater Team Leader (MC-148)
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Phase II MS4 Annual Report Transmittal for the City of Schertz
TPDES Authorization: TXR040241

Dear Team Leader:

This letter serves to transmit the required annual report for the Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System General Permit, Authorization Number TXR040241 for the City of Schertz.

The annual report is for Year 7. The reporting period beginning January 22, 2025, and ending October 3, 2025.

As required by the general permit, a copy of the report has been mailed to the TCEQ's regional office 13 in San Antonio, Texas.

Sincerely,

Steve Williams
City Manager



Phase II (Small) MS4 Annual Report Form

TPDES General Permit Number TXR040000

A. General Information

Authorization Number: TXR040241

Reporting Year : 7

Annual Reporting Year Option Selected by MS4:

- Permit Year: 2024

Reporting period beginning date: January 22, 2025

Reporting period end date: October 3, 2025

MS4 Operator Level: 3

Name of MS4: City of Schertz

Contact Name: Steve Williams

Telephone Number: 210-619-1000

Mailing Address: 1400 Schertz Parkway, Building 2 Schertz, TX 78154

E-mail Address: steve.williams@schertz.com

A copy of the annual report was submitted to the TCEQ Region: YES

Region the annual report was submitted to: TCEQ Region 13

B. Status of Compliance with the MS4 GP and SWMP

1. Provide information on the status of complying with permit conditions: (TXR040000 Part IV.B.2)

	Yes	No	Explain
Permittee is currently in compliance with the SWMP as submitted to and approved by the TCEQ.	✓		Yes, the City of Schertz is compliant with the SWMP and General Permit approved August 2023.
Permittee is currently in compliance with recordkeeping and reporting requirements.	✓		Yes, the City of Schertz is compliant and maintains recordkeeping and reporting requirements.
Permittee meets the eligibility requirements of the permit (e.g., TMDL requirements, Edwards Aquifer limitations, compliance history, etc.).	✓		Yes, the City of Schertz meets the eligibility requirements. The city is not regulated by a TMDL.
Permittee conducted an annual review of its SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report	✓		Yes, the City of Schertz prepared and submitted a NOI with an updated SWMP February 2025 to comply with the updated 2024 Permit terms.

2. Provide a general assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs. You may use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 1 in instructions**):

	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
MCM 1: Public Education, Outreach, and Involvement		
	1.01 Brochures and Fact Sheets	Yes, BMP is appropriate – by publishing fact sheets or infographics in the Schertz magazine, the community is made aware of potential pollutants and their impact to stormwater.
	1.02 School Educational Program	No, the distribution of materials for fourth and 5 th grade students did not appear to be the most appropriate course of action for reducing pollutants. Materials were gathered from Take Care of Texas and their free resources. While the material distributed was educational for students, they are not an audience that is responsible for
	1.03 Drain Marking	No, BMP is not the most effective. Despite creating logos or slogans on drain marking, responsible parties often do not care about the consequences of adding contaminants to waterways. However, it does provide awareness of the system and if there is a concern from the community, they are able to reach the city's responsible department via 311.
	1.04 Household Hazardous & Bulk Waste Days	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants. Residents are able to schedule front porch collection online if they have hazardous waste they need to dispose. Proper collection and disposal of household hazardous waste can eliminate that pollutant potential from improper disposal.
	1.05 Maintain Stormwater Page on City Website	Yes – the city's designated Storm Water Management page contains helpful links for different audiences.

1.06 Public Notice for Stormwater Management Program Development	No, this BMP is not as effective in reducing potential pollutants. The city is attempting to use a new feedback method for responses called “FlashVote” to conduct future surveys.
MCM 2: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE)	
2.01 Storm Sewer Map	Yes, this is an appropriate BMP because with an up-to-date map, we can provide faster response for source tracking and cleanup in the event of an illicit discharge in the system.
2.02 Field Staff Training	Yes, BMP is appropriate. With training personnel who are out in the field regularly, city employees can identify, detect, and eliminate possible illicit discharges.
2.03 IDDE Source Tracking SOP	Yes, this is an appropriate BMP. Procedures will identify the authority responsible and documentation requirements.
2.04 IDDE Source Removal SOP	Yes, having this BMP is imperative if an illicit discharge is detected. Like the previous BMP, identifying relevant authorities, the procedures to be conducted to remove the source, and proper documentation can be effective for protecting our waterways.
2.05 OSSF Inspection and Maintenance Program	Yes, this is an appropriate BMP for reducing discharges of possible pollutants.
2.06 Illicit Discharge Ordinance	Yes. This BMP allows the city to prohibit illicit discharges under state and federal regulations.
2.07 Illicit Discharge and Dumping Hotline	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. If a community member or city employee observes an illicit discharge, they can alert an employee to ensure that it reaches the appropriate department.

MCM 3: Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

3.01 Review and Update Criteria for Construction Runoff	Yes, conducting reviews of pre-existing criteria and incorporating concerns is an effective BMP.
3.02 Construction Plan Review Procedures	Yes, this is an appropriate BMP. Reviews ensure that plans submitted comply with local, state, and federal laws.
3.03 Construction Site Inspection and Enforcement Procedures	Yes, this bmp is appropriate. Identifying possible illicit discharges through inspections and possible enforcement can reduce potential pollutants encountered at construction sites.
3.04 Construction Stormwater Management Ordinance	Yes, this is appropriate as a BMP because it provides legal backing to maintain the health of the community. Requiring land disturbing activities to be responsible for discharging any pollutants and when warranted, issuing citations or fees to ensure accountability.
3.05 Construction Runoff Hotline	Yes, this is appropriate so that if illicit discharges are reported, the city can inspect any complaints and track the source if an illicit discharge is found.

MCM 4: Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment

4.01 Evaluate Adoption of Low Impact Development (LID)/Green Infrastructure (GI)	Yes, this BMP is appropriate if LID is encouraged, plans are designed to reduce pollutant discharge potential and ultimately benefit the community.
4.02 Site Review Program for Post-Construction Runoff	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. Comprehensive construction plans allow the city to review submittals and make note of their potential to impact water quality.

4.03 Post-Construction Stormwater Management Ordinance	Yes, this is an appropriate BMP. Identifying procedures to properly monitor and maintain stormwater controls.
MCM 5: Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations	
5.01 Municipal Facilities and Stormwater Control Inventory	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. Knowing the locations of municipal operations can allow departments to make sure good housekeeping is followed and pollutant potential is eliminated at high priority facilities.
5.02 Training and Education	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for reducing discharge of pollutants. Having different types of training so that the different city departments are able to have information tailored to their responsibilities.
5.03 Contractor Requirements and Oversight	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for ensuring that activities contracted by the city practice good housekeeping.
5.04 City Operations Assessment	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. Properly maintained facilities and operations should result in reducing the potential of pollutants.
5.05 Provide City Vehicle Washing Areas that are Served by Appropriate BMPs	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for reducing potential pollutants that may arise from vehicle washing. However, exercising this BMP requires additional funding that might not be practical.
5.06 Street Sweeping	Yes, this BMP is appropriate as it reduces sediment and other pollutants that could potentially enter the stormwater system.

3. Describe progress towards achieving the goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. If no progress was made or the BMP did not result in a reduction in pollutants, provide an explanation. Use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 2 in instructions**):

BMP	Information Used	Quantity	Units	Does the BMP Demonstrate a Direct Reduction in Pollutants? (Answer Yes or No and explain)
MCM 1				
1.01	Schertz Magazine Fact Sheets	2	Publications in Schertz Magazine	No, this does not result in a direct reduction. However, educational material can raise awareness and indirectly reduce in the future.
1.02	Outreach materials	0	Number of materials	No, this does not result in a direct reduction.
1.03	"Drains to Creek" manhole covers	24	Manhole covers	Yes, BMP is appropriate. If logo is visible, illegal dumping could be deterred.
1.04	Cleanup Events for Bulk Waste, Household Hazardous Waste, and Creek Clean up	2	Cleanup Events	Yes, cleanup events provide an avenue for residents to safely dispose of bulk and hazardous household waste, thus keeping it out of the storm system.

1.05	Stormwater Website	1	Web page updates	No, this BMP does not directly reduce the pollutants.
1.06	Publish SWMP on webpage	1	Annual report	No, this BMP does not directly reduce discharge of pollutants, but it's important to review the program to ensure best management practices and compliance occurs.
MCM 2				
2.01	Storm sewer map	9	Inlets and Outfalls added on GIS through public and private construction	No, this BMP does not directly reduce pollutant potential, but stormwater pollution prevention plans associated with construction are to pay close care to install protection at these features.
2.02	Field staff training	2	Training sessions	No, but it indirectly has benefits. This BMP reduces potential by having knowledge of what might be encountered in the field.

2.03	Source tracking procedures	0	Internal workshop to create SOP	Yes, tracking illicit discharges and proper response can result in a reduction of pollutants.
2.04	Source removal procedures	0	Internal workshop to create SOP	Yes, knowledge of removing pollutants and steps to address specific pollutants can result in direct reduction.
2.05	OSSF inspection and maintenance program	0	Complaint reports for OSSF	Yes, discharge of pollutants can be prevented with maintenance and inspections.
2.06	Illicit discharge ordinance	0	Updates	No direct reduction of pollutants, but these have indirect benefits.
2.07	Illicit Discharge and Dumping Hotline	3	Complaints received	Yes, if illicit discharges are reported, further investigation can confirm the discharge, and personnel can directly address this.
MCM 3				
3.01	Criteria for construction runoff	1 0	Review sessions Updates	No, but it will indirectly reduce discharge.

3.02	Construction plan review procedures	0	Revisions	No, this is not a direct reduction, but the review of plans is discussed amongst the department and procedures are taught to new employees.
3.03	Construction site inspections	2,928	Total Inspection reports (Inspections for permit types: PRCR, PRGR, PRMU, PRNR, PRPI, and PRSF)	Yes, discharges encountered during inspections can be documented and correction will be enforced.
3.04	Construction stormwater management ordinance	0 1	Updates Reviews	No, but this has indirect benefits.
3.05	Construction runoff hotline	0	Reports received	Yes, if community or city staff encounter pollutants from construction, the city can use enforcement and correction procedures.
MCM 4				
4.01	Adoption of Low Impact Development (LID)/ Green infrastructure (GI)	3	Internal meetings with multiple city departments	No, but this has indirect benefits

	4.02	Post construction inspections	17	Inspections of completed subdivisions	Yes, inspections can find and report any pollutants encountered or potential to occur from the new construction.
	4.03	Post-Construction stormwater management ordinance	0	Ordinance updates	No, but this has indirect benefits.
MCM 5					
	5.01	Municipal facilities and stormwater control inventory	1	Municipal facilities added to inventory	Yes, facilities can maintain proper storage and disposal of any harmful pollutants. Inspection of stormwater controls can ensure no discharge is found.
	5.02	Training that addresses stormwater quality issues, pollution prevention, and good housekeeping procedures	2	Trainings held	No, but this has indirect benefits.

5.03	Contractor Requirements and Oversight	0	Amendments made to contract documents by reviewing with the city attorney & purchasing department	Yes, this has the potential to reduce possible pollutants. However, the cost associated with certain pollution prevention measures may not work for certain budgets.
5.04	City operations assessment	0	Spill sheets reported	No, but this has indirect benefits.
5.05	City Vehicle Washing Areas	2	Designated car wash locations	Yes, by having a designated location for municipal vehicles to be washed at a proper facility can directly reduce potential pollutants.
5.06	Street Sweeping	1,618 263 5710	Sweeper Man Hrs. Sweeper Maintenance Hrs. Miles Swept	Yes, this directly reduces discharge by cleaning sediment and other debris from local streets.

4. Provide the measurable goals for each of the MCMs, and an evaluation of the success of the implementation of the measurable goals (**see Example 3 in instructions**):

MCM	Measurable Goal(s)	Explain progress toward goal or how goal was achieved. If goal was not accomplished, please explain.
MCM 1		

1	Brochures and Fact Sheets Schertz Magazine	Met Goal - The city successfully accomplished its goal by publishing multiple fact sheets in the Schertz Magazine and posts were uploaded on the city's social media platforms. The City's "Storm Water Management" website also includes various educational resources.
1	Develop and distribute outreach materials for 4 th and 5 th grade students.	Goal not met – materials were distributed in January before the window of this annual report and did not receive much feedback from previous outreach.
1	Use a combination of City staff and volunteer organizations to place placards on all inlets installed in the prior year	Progress made – New inlets use "no dumping, drains to creek manhole lids", but older inlets had placards installed at highly visible areas.
1	Collect Household Hazardous Waste on designated dates and report amount of waste collected.	Goal met • Spring 2024 = 32,600 tons • Fall 2024 = 39,317 tons ◦ <i>Spring 2025 cleanup data was not available at the time of annual report submittal. Republic Services was unable to provide data containing the number of tons.</i> • Clean the Creek = approximately one full 40 yard roll off container
1	Collect bulk waste on designated dates and report amount of waste collected.	Goal met • Spring 2024 = 140 hauls • Fall 2024 = 168 hauls ◦ <i>Spring 2025 cleanup data was not available at the time of annual report submittal. Republic Services was unable to provide data containing the number of hauls.</i>

MCM 2

2	Geolocate and update inventory of inlets and outfalls added through public and private construction each year	Met Goal – the City’s GIS department recurrently updates this inventory with new construction in the city’s jurisdiction.
2	Field Staff Training	Met Goal – Engineering department inspectors received training from the National Stormwater Center, and any helpful information was shared with other departments.
2	IDDE Source tracking SOP	Goal not met - SOP document was not created during the permit year. Water quality sampling was not able to be approved in limited budget, and the number of staff could not fulfill this additional requirement.
2	IDDE Source Removal SOP	Goal not met – SOP document was not created during the permit year. Water quality sampling was not able to be approved in limited budget, and the number of staff could not fulfill this additional requirement.
2	Review ILA with surrounding counties regarding OSSF inspection and maintenance.	Progressed toward goal – ILAs for each of three counties in review. Agreements maintained again this year with Guadalupe County.
2	Illicit Discharge Ordinance, review and authorization of code	Progress toward goal – Ordinance review began during permit year and will continue with potential updates if deemed necessary.
2	Illicit Discharge and Dumping Hotline	Met Goal – the City’s “311” system receives comments and concerns from the community. Responded to any concerns reported.

MCM 3

3	Update criteria for construction runoff	Met Goal – Weekly department meetings note any possible deficiencies in different permitting stages to be added to conditions.
3	Construction plan review criteria	Met Goal – Engineering, Planning, and fire inspector all review permits and address comments routinely during weekly department meetings.
3	Perform Construction Site Inspection for all Permitted Sites	Met Goal – Recurring inspections made by inspectors in the City’s Engineering Department. Inspection results submitted through “CityView” program for recordkeeping and communication between City and construction personnel.
3	Construction stormwater management ordinance – legislation review	Met Goal – current legislation meets the criteria. No meeting with the attorney was needed to alter existing authority.
3	Construction runoff hotline; Respond to 100% of concerns reported	Met Goal - any concerns reported to the City’s hotline were investigated and a construction runoff fact sheet was published in the city’s magazine that addressed this topic.

MCM 4

4	Evaluate adoption of Low Impact Development (LID)	Progressed toward goal. City staff have started to pursue code enforcement of unimproved surfaces. Planning department has made Green Infrastructure a priority for upcoming ordinance updates.
4	Review of all Site Plans for Compliance (Post Construction)	Met Goal – Site plans that are public infrastructure are inspected both one- and two-years post construction. As-Builts are required to be submitted to the City ensuring the compliance of plans.
4	Post-Construction stormwater management ordinance	Goal not met – stormwater ordinance will be updated with the new position made for a MS4/Floodplain administrator position.

MCM 5

5	Maintain map of municipal facilities and stormwater controls	Met goal – New municipal facilities and stormwater controls are updated with the GIS department.
5	Provide Annual Municipal Staff Training	Met goal after the October 3 rd date for this report. Informal meeting held with department heads in March of this year based on the material used in the National Stormwater Center MS4 inspector training.
5	Contractual requirements that require compliance with pollution prevention and good housekeeping practices	Met goal – departments manage contracts and ensure language includes SWPPP and TCEQ construction permit requirements.

5	Evaluate O&M activities for potential to discharge pollutants	Goal not met – the city’s current operation and maintenance is necessary to help accommodate for the aging infrastructure and rapidly growing population. The budget for personnel and materials is not practical for this BMP.
5	Provide City Vehicle Washing Areas	Met Goal – city vehicles use a designated card for the cost to clean vehicles at a local car wash facility.
5	Sweep All City Streets	Met Goal – the city swept around 5,710 miles of streets.

C. Stormwater Data Summary

Provide a summary of all information used, including any lab results (if sampling was conducted) to assess the success of the SWMP at reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. For example, did the MS4 conduct visual inspections, clean the inlets, look for illicit discharge, clean streets, look for flow during dry weather, etc.?

- Note: The City of Schertz has not conducted sampling, the following activities are strategies used to reduce pollutants to the MEP.
 - The City of Schertz visually inspected trash traps and other areas of concern monthly to ensure floatables and other pollutants do not reach outfalls.
 - Regular monitoring is conducted in locations more susceptible to illegal dumping, known as “Hot Spots”, and any concerns observed by the community or City of Schertz employees can be reported on the local Hotline.
 - Monitored the sweeping of streets to ensure the reduction of pollutants to the MEP. The goal is to sweep the streets monthly to ensure the removal of the potential pollutants.
 - Inspections of all construction sites to reduce the discharge of sediment or other pollutants from land disturbing activities.
 - The city updated the “Drainage Fee rate” to fund drainage related projects, hire additional drainage personnel that are responsible for inspections and maintenance, and a designated MS4/Floodplain Administrator position.

D. Impaired Waterbodies

1. Identify whether an impaired water within the permitted area was added to the latest EPA-approved 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d). List any newly identified impaired waters below by including the name of the water body and the cause of impairment.

- According to the *Texas Integrated Report- Water Bodies and Impairments Added to the Texas 303(d) List*, there are no newly identified impaired waters within the permitted area in between the dates listed for this annual report.
 - <https://www.tceq.texas.gov/downloads/water-quality/assessment/integrated-report-2024/2024new-listings>
2. If applicable, explain below any activities taken to address the discharge to impaired waterbodies, including any sampling results and a summary of the small MS4's BMPs used to address the pollutant of concern.
- No TMDLs have been set for the receiving bodies of water for the City in the dates for this annual report.
3. Describe the implementation of targeted controls if the small MS4 discharges to an impaired water body with an approved TMDL.
- There are no TMDLs in the stream the MS4 discharges to.
4. Report the benchmark identified by the MS4 and assessment activities:
- MS4 has no TMDLs, see Measurable Goals section above.
5. Provide an analysis of how the selected BMPs will be effective in contributing to achieving the benchmark:
- There are no TMDLs in the segment of streams to which the MS4 discharges.
6. If applicable, report on focused BMPs to address impairment for bacteria: **N/A**
7. Assess the progress to determine BMP's effectiveness in achieving the benchmark. **N/A**

E. Stormwater Activities

Describe activities planned for the next reporting year:

(Please note : The following activities fall under the new SWMP and follow the new 2024 Phase II MS4 General Permit guidelines , as well as the permitting year defined as the calendar year from January 1 – December 31st, 2026)

MCM(s)	BMP	Stormwater Activity	Description/Comments
1	1.01	Information on the MS4 Operator Website	Maintain the city's Stormwater Management web page and update with any relevant data or helpful information on stormwater.
1	1.05	Publish articles in local newspaper or newsletter	Publish at least two magazine articles or fact sheets on stormwater topics.
2	2.04	Stormwater related speaker series	The city will host two sessions about stormwater quality through different speakers and audiences.
2	2.07	Educational Display/Booth at a public event	City shall provide a booth at the new farmer's market event. City employees will have educational materials and answer questions from residents.
3	3.02	Conduct training for all field staff	City shall provide training to all departments with field personnel on how to recognize illicit discharges, illegal dumping, or illicit connections.
4	4.05	Conduct Construction Site Inspections	City will continue to have site inspections at 100% of new permitted sites within the local jurisdiction.
4	4.08	Maintain a construction site inventory	The city shall keep track of active construction sites within their jurisdiction by using CityView.
6	6.07	Pollution Prevention Measures	The city shall track all applications of deicing and anti-icing compounds used by the city for maintenance procedures.

6	6.10	Storm Sewer System Operation and Maintenance Program	City shall inspect at least 25% of owned and operated detention basins and inspect at least 20% of the MS4's owned and operated inlets in identified problem areas.
6	6.12	Operation and Maintenance Program to Reduce Discharges of Pollutants from Roads	The city will maintain logs of street sweeping mileage, man hours and maintenance annually.

F. SWMP Modifications

- The SWMP and MCM implementation procedures are reviewed each year.
 - Yes
 - Changes have been made or are proposed to the SWMP since the NOI or the last annual report, including changes in response to TCEQ's review.
 - Yes, the City was previously defined as a Level 2 Phase II MS4 and is now classified as a Level 3 Phase II MS4. A new proposed SWMP was submitted in February 2025 to TCEQ in accordance with the renewal: Phase II MS4 General Permit, TXR040000. This MS4 was granted official approval on the NOI on 10/03/2025.
1. The table below describes the city's MCM implementation in accordance with the renewal of the General Permit for Phase II MS4, TXR040000:

MCM	BMP No.	BMP	Include in SWMP?
MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach	BMP 1.01	Information on the MS4 operator's website.	Yes
	BMP 1.02	Social media posts, social media campaign.	Yes

	BMP 1.03	Maintain or mark storm drains and inlets with, “No Dumping – Drains to Creek” or a similar message.	Yes
	BMP 1.04	Media/advertising campaign/public service announcements in areas of high visibility: Billboard/poster; Bus shelter/bench; radio/television/movie theatre; and kiosks.	No
	BMP 1.05	Publish articles in local newspapers or newsletter, may be electronic.	Yes
	BMP 1.06	Fact sheets/brochures/ utility bill inserts/door hangers.	No
	BMP 1.07	Permanent stormwater related signage.	Yes
	BMP 1.08	Promote, host, or develop educational meetings, seminar, or trainings.	No
	BMP 1.09	Targeted education campaign via mail, email, or in person.	No
MCM 2: Public Involvement/Participation	BMP 2.01	Stream/lake or watershed clean-up events; litter/trash clean-up events such as Adopt-A-Highway, Adopt-A-Spot, Adopt-A-Street, Adopt-A-Stream, etc.	Yes
	BMP 2.02	Habitat improvement; Tree planting; Invasive Vegetation removal; Stream restoration.	No

	BMP 2.03	Volunteer water quality monitoring such as Texas Stream Team.	No
	BMP 2.04	Stormwater related speaker series.	Yes
	BMP 2.05	MS4 area-wide stormwater survey for input on program implementation.	No
	BMP 2.06	Hold events to train residents, or work a project for homeowner associations (HOAs), or other public groups to cover stormwater topics such as: Building rain barrels; Fertilizer application training; Rain garden/bio retention creation or maintenance; How to recognize illicit discharge activities and communicate observations to appropriate MS4 staff.	Yes
	BMP 2.07	Educational display/booth at a school, public event, or similar event to provide information or displays that work to improve public understanding of issues related to water quality.	Yes
	BMP 2.08	Public meeting for input on the program implementation such as a city council meeting, board meeting, or stakeholder meeting.	No
MCM 3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE)	BMP 3.01	Maintain a current and accurate MS4 map as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(1) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 3.02	Conduct training for all the permittee's field staff as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(2) of the General Permit.	Yes

	BMP 3.03	Maintain and publicize a public reporting method for the public to report illicit discharges, illegal dumping, or water quality impacts associated with discharges into or from the small MS4 such as a reporting hotline, online form, or other similar mechanism as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(3) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 3.04	Develop and maintain procedures for responding to illicit discharges, illegal dumping, and spills as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(4) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 3.05	Source investigation and elimination of illicit discharges and illegal dumping as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(5) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 3.06	Corrective action to eliminate illicit discharges and illegal dumping as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(5) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 3.07	Inspection Procedures as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(6) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 3.08	Inspections in response to complaints as described in Part IV.D.3.(c)(6) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 3.09	Conduct follow-up investigations or field screenings when notified that a discharge has been eliminated.	Yes
MCM 4: Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control	BMP 4.01	Develop and maintain an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism as described in Part IV.D.4.(a) of the General Permit.	Yes

	BMP 4.02	Prohibit discharges as described in Part IV.D.4.(b)(2) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 4.03	Maintain and implement site plan review procedures that describe which plans will be reviewed as well as when an operator may begin construction as described in Part IV.D.4.(b)(3) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 4.04	Implement procedures for inspecting large and small construction projects as described in Part IV.D.4.(b)(4) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 4.05	Conduct construction site inspections as described in Part IV.D.4.(b)(4) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 4.06	Develop, implement, and maintain procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public as described in Part IV.D.4.(b)(5) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 4.07	Conduct training for all the MS4 staff whose primary job duties are related to implementing the construction stormwater program as described in Part IV.D.4.(b)(6) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 4.08	Maintain a Construction Site inventory as described in Part IV.D.4.(c) of the General Permit.	Yes
MCM 5: Post-construction Stormwater	BMP 5.01	Develop and maintain an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism as described in Part IV.D.5.(a)(2) of the General Permit.	Yes

Management in New Development and Redevelopment	BMP 5.02	Document and maintain records of enforcement actions and make them available for review by the TCEQ as described in Part IV.D.5.(b)(1) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 5.03	Ensure the long term operation and maintenance of structural stormwater control measures installed as described in Part IV.D.5.(b)(2) of the General Permit.	Yes
MCM 6: Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations	BMP 6.01	Permittee-owned Facilities and Control Inventory as described by Part IV.D.6.(b)(1) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 6.02	Training and Education as described in Part IV.D.6.(b)(2) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 6.03	Disposal of Waste Material as described in Part IV.D.6.(b)(3) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 6.04	Contractor Requirements and Oversight as described in Part IV.D.6.(b)(4) of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 6.05	Assessment of permittee-owned operations as described in Part IV.D.6.(b)(5)a of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 6.06	Identify pollutants of concern as described in Part IV.D.6.(b)(5)b of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 6.07	Pollution Prevention Measures as described in Part IV.D.6.(b)(5)c of the General Permit.	Yes

	BMP 6.08	Inspection of Pollution Prevention Measures as described in Part IV.D.6.(b)(5)d of the General Permit.	Yes
	BMP 6.09	Structural Control Maintenance as described by Part IV.D.6.(b)(6) of the General Permit.	Yes
MCM 6: Additional Requirements for Level 3 permits	BMP 6.10	Storm Sewer System Operation and Maintenance Program.	Yes
	BMP 6.11	Storm Sewer System Operation and Maintenance of Problem Areas.	Yes
	BMP 6.12	Operation and Maintenance Program to Reduce Discharges of Pollutants from Roads.	Yes
	BMP 6.13	Mapping of Facilities.	Yes
	BMP 6.14	Assessment of Facilities' Pollutant Discharge Potential.	Yes
	BMP 6.15	Identification of High Priority Facilities.	Yes
	BMP 6.16	Documentation of Assessment Results.	Yes
	BMP 6.17	Development of Facility-Specific Standard Operating Procedures.	Yes
	BMP 6.18	Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, General Good Housekeeping.	Yes
	BMP 6.19	Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Deicing and anti-icing material storage.	Yes

	BMP 6.20	Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Fueling and Vehicle Maintenance.	Yes
	BMP 6.21	Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Equipment and Vehicle Washing.	Yes
	BMP 6.22	Inspections.	Yes

2. Explain additional changes or proposed changes not previously mentioned (i.e. dates, contacts, procedures, annexation of land, etc.).

- SWMP was updated in order to comply with the new MS4 Phase II regulations.

G. Additional BMPs for TMDLs and I-Plans

Provide a description and schedule for implementation of additional BMPs that may be necessary, based on monitoring results, to ensure compliance with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans.

- No additional BMPs were required because TMDLs are not applicable to the City's MS4.

H. Additional Information

1. Is the permittee relying on another entity to satisfy any permit obligations?
 - No
- 2.a. Is the permittee part of a group sharing a SWMP with other entities?
 - No
- 2.b. If “yes,” is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees?
 - N/A

I. Construction Activities

1. The number of construction activities that occurred in the jurisdictional area of the MS4 (Large and Small Site Notices submitted by construction site operators) (Note: This includes Single Family Residential permits)

390

2. Does the permittee utilize the optional seventh MCM related to construction?
 - No

J. Certification

If this is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees, each permittee shall sign and certify the annual report in accordance with 30 TAC §305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports).

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name (printed): Steve Williams

Title: City Manager

Signature: _____

Date: _____

12/29/25

Name of MS4: City of Schertz